

Satellite Applications

Satellite Earth Observation

Services for Ecosystem valuation

Prof Nick Veck
Head of the CEO's Office
Satellite Applications Catapult


01 March 2016

Outline

Do not cut down the tree
that gives you shade
- Arabian proverb


- **Introduction to Earth observation and ecosystem services valuation**
- **Example: The SENCE and Milton Keynes approaches**
- **MK broad-scale habitat map & ecosystem service layers**
- **Taking it further...**







**Earth observation is the gathering of information
about the Earth's physical, chemical and biological
systems**

- **Natural resource management**
- **Agriculture**
- **Risk assessment**
- **Environmental protection**
- **Ecosystem services**
- **Urban planning**
- **Insurance**
- **Transportation**
- **Communication**
- **Tourism**



Where are the Satellites?






Remote Sensing

Remote sensing systems make use of the electromagnetic spectrum in two ways:

1. Collecting the radiation that is reflected, emitted or scattered by a target (**passive systems**)
2. Illuminating a target with a pulse or beam of radiation and collecting the signal that is reflected or refracted back to the sensor (**active systems**).

Wavelength (μm)



Passive System



Spatial Resolution: 0.5m

Optical Imagery at LEO

WorldView 2

Date: 09/03/2014

Natural Colour Composite



Active System



Radar Imagery



Resolution



0.5m Resolution

© DigitalGlobe, Inc. All Rights Reserved.
Image © Satellite Applications Catapult Ltd 2014.



5m Resolution



10m Resolution

Spatial Resolution Trend over the past 15 years


Legislation change in the States saw the launch of **Worldview 3** with 0.31m resolution

DigitalGlobe launched **Quickbird** in 2001 had the capability of imaging at 0.61m

Ikonos was the first commercial satellite to collect publically available data at 1m

TerraSAR X, launched in 2007 with an advertised top resolution of 1m, in 2014 Airbus released a new mode capable of 0.25m imaging


Radarsat 1, the first commercial SAR Mission



What are ecosystem services?

“a wide range of conditions and processes through which natural ecosystems, and the species that are part of them, help sustain and fulfill human life”
(Daily et al. 1997)

- The environment is our life support system.
- Needs a healthy diversity of plants and animals to function.
- Ecosystem services are the benefits people obtain from ecosystems.





Remote sensing and the future of landscape ecology

Adrian C. Newton,^{1*} Ross A. Hill,¹ Cristian Echeverría,² Duncan Golicher,¹ José M. Rey Benayas,³ Luis Cayuela^{3,4} and Shelley A. Hinsley⁵

¹School of Conservation Sciences, Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Poole BH12 5BB, UK

²Departamento Manejo de Bosques y Medio Ambiente, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

³Departamento de Ecología, Universidad de Alcalá, 28871 Alcalá de Henares, Spain

⁴Departamento de Ecología, Centro Andaluz de Medio Ambiente, Universidad de Granada, Junta de Andalucía, Avenida del Mediterráneo S/N, 18006, Granada, Spain

⁵Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford OX10 8BB, UK

Abstract: Landscape ecology focuses on the analysis of spatial pattern and its relationship to ecological processes. As a scientific discipline, landscape ecology has grown rapidly in recent years, supported by developments in GIS and spatial analysis techniques. Although remote sensing data are widely employed in landscape ecology research, their current and potential roles have not been evaluated critically. To provide an overview of current practice, 438 research papers published in the journal *Landscape Ecology* for the years 2004–2008 were examined for information about use of remote sensing. Results indicated that only 36% of studies explicitly mentioned remote sensing. Of those that did so, aerial photographs and Landsat satellite sensor images were most commonly used, accounting for 46% and 42% of studies, respectively. The predominant application of remote sensing data across these studies was for thematic mapping purposes. This suggests that landscape ecologists have been relatively slow to recognize the potential value of recent developments in remote sensing technologies and methods. The review also provided evidence of a frequent lack of key detail in studies recently published in *Landscape Ecology*, with 75% failing to provide any assessment of uncertainty or error relating to image classification and mapping. It is suggested that the role of remote sensing in landscape ecology might be strengthened by closer collaboration

2009 review of use of RS data in landscape ecology (habitat assessment)

Conclusion: an overwhelming use of RS as a source of land cover information, with other possible RS data products rarely used.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ecological Indicators

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolind



Review

Remote sensing of ecosystem services: A systematic review



Caio C. de Araujo Barbosa^{a,*}, Peter M. Atkinson^a, John A. Dearing^b

^a Global Environmental Change and Earth Observation Research Group, Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

^b Palaeoecological Laboratory, Geography and Environment, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 July 2014

Received in revised form 4 January 2015

Accepted 5 January 2015

Keywords:

Remote sensing
Ecosystem services
Systematic review
Biophysical proxies
Earth observation

ABSTRACT

Appropriate integration of remote sensing technologies into ecosystem services concepts and practices leads to potential practical benefits for the protection of biodiversity and the promotion of sustainable use of Earth's natural assets. The last decade has seen the rapid development of research efforts on the topic of ecosystem services, which has led to a significant increase in the number of scientific publications. This systematic review aims to identify, evaluate and synthesise the evidence provided in published peer reviewed studies framing their work in the context of spatially explicit remote sensing assessment and valuation of ecosystem services. Initially, a search through indexed scientific databases found 5920 papers making direct and/or indirect reference to the topic of "ecosystem services" between the years of 1960 and 2013. Among these papers, 211 make direct reference to the use of remote sensing. During the search we aimed at selecting papers that were peer-reviewed publications available through indexed bibliographic databases. For this reason, our literature search did not include books, grey literature, extended abstracts and presentations. We quantitatively present the growth of remote sensing applications in ecosystem services' research, reviewing the literature to produce a summary of the state of available and feasible remote sensing variables used in the assessment and valuation of ecosystem services. The results provide valuable information on how remotely sensed Earth observation data are used currently to produce spatially-explicit assessments and valuation of ecosystem services. Using examples from the literature we produce a concise summary of what has been done, what can be done and what can be improved upon in the future to integrate remote sensing into ecosystem services research. The reason for doing so is to motivate discussion about methodological challenges, solutions and to encourage an uptake of remote sensing technology and data where it has potential practical applications.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

May 2015



Potential contributions of remote sensing to ecosystem service assessments

Margaret E. Andrew

Murdoch University, Australia

Michael A. Wulder

Canadian Forest Service (Pacific Forestry Centre), Canada

Trisalyn A. Nelson

University of Victoria, Canada

Progress in Physical Geography
2014, Vol. 38(3) 328–353
© The Author(s) 2014
Reprints and permission:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0309133314528942
ppg.sagepub.com



Abstract

Ecological and conservation research has provided a strong scientific underpinning to the modeling of ecosystem services (ESs) over space and time, by identifying the ecological processes and components of biodiversity (ecosystem service providers, functional traits) that drive ES supply. Despite this knowledge, efforts to map the distribution of ESs often rely on simple spatial surrogates that provide incomplete and non-mechanistic representations of the biophysical variables they are intended to proxy. However, alternative data sets are available that allow for more direct, spatially nuanced inputs to ES mapping efforts. Many spatially explicit, quantitative estimates of biophysical parameters are currently supported by remote sensing, with great relevance to ES mapping. Additional parameters that are not amenable to direct detection by remote sensing may be indirectly modeled with spatial environmental data layers. We review the capabilities of modern remote sensing for describing biodiversity, plant traits, vegetation condition, ecological processes, soil properties, and hydrological variables and highlight how these products may contribute to ES assessments. Because these products often provide more direct estimates of the ecological properties controlling ESs than the spatial proxies currently in use, they can support greater mechanistic realism in models of ESs. By drawing on the increasing range of remote sensing instruments and measurements, data sets appropriate to the estimation of a given ES can be selected or developed. In so doing, we anticipate rapid progress to the spatial characterization of ecosystem services, in turn supporting ecological conservation, management, and integrated land use planning.

June 2014

August 2012

ENVIRONMENTAL Science & Technology

Critical Review

pubs.acs.org/est

Quantifying and Mapping Ecosystem Services Supplies and Demands: A Review of Remote Sensing Applications

Yohannes Zergaw Ayaru,^{*,†} Christopher Conrad,^{‡,§} Thomas Nauss,^{||} Martin Wegmann,^{†,§} and Thomas Koellner[†]

[†]Faculty of Biology, Chemistry and Earth Sciences, Professorship of Ecological Services, University of Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany


[‡]Remote Sensing Unit, Department of Geography, University of Wuerzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Wuerzburg, Germany

[§]German Aerospace Centre (DLR)—German Remote Sensing Data Centre (DFD), Oberpfaffenhofen, 82234 Wessling, Germany

^{||}Department of Geography, Environmental Informatics Unit, University of Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany


Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Ecosystems provide services necessary for the livelihoods and well-being of people. Quantifying and mapping supplies and demands of ecosystem services is essential for continuous monitoring of such services to support decision-making. Area-wide and spatially explicit mapping of ecosystem services based on extensive ground surveys is restricted to local scales and limited due to high costs. In contrast, remote sensing provides reliable area-wide data for quantifying and mapping ecosystem services at comparatively low costs, and with the option of fast, frequent, and continuous observations for monitoring. In this paper, we review relevant remote sensing systems, sensor types, and methods applicable in quantifying selected provisioning and regulatory services. Furthermore, opportunities, challenges, and future prospects in using remote sensing for supporting ecosystem services' quantification and mapping are discussed.





Ecosystems

Data Processing (Remote Sensing Technologies)	
Quantifying & Mapping Ecosystem Services	
Provisioning	Supporting
• Food	• Nature cycling
• Fresh water	• Soil formation
• Wood & Other products	• Primary production
• Fuel	
Regulating	Cultural
• Climate	• Aesthetic
• Flood	• Spiritual
• Disease	• Educational
• Noise	• Recreational




Provisioning services






Regulatory services

Support services




Cultural services




Average RS variable use per ecosystem service category

Provisioning services		Regulating services		Supporting services		Cultural services	
%	RS variable	%	RS variable	%	RS variable	%	RS variable
58.4	Land cover	46.9	Land cover	32.2	Land cover	55.0	Land cover
23.0	NDVI	19.8	NDVI	12.8	LAI	28.5	NDVI
5.8	Land surface temp	9.6	Elevation data	8.2	Chl-a concentration	16.5	Land surface temp
4.0	GPP	6.9	LAI	7.5	Water layer		
3.2	Sea surface temp	4.7	Land surface temp	7.2	Thermal infrared		
3.2	Chl-a concentration	5.2	Water layer	7.0	Land surface temp		
2.2	LAI	4.5	Suspended solids	6.8	Elevation data		
		1.9	CDOM Index	6.5	NDVI		
		0.2	Chl-a concentration	5.5	Sea surface temp		
		0.2	Sea surface temp	3.5	Bathymetry		
				3.0	GPP		




Land cover
 NDVI and/or LAI
 Land surface temperature
 (Elevation data)
 (Chlorophyll-a)

Most common approach: land cover



Understanding living systems that provide Ecosystem Services



Legend

Arable	Manmade
Bare ground	Open water
Coniferous woodland	Permanent grassland
Deciduous woodland	Species rich, semi-natural
Gardens	Disturbed vegetation
Hedgerow	Wet grassland

- Biophysical characteristics of a living system
- The natural processes of that living system
- The benefits we gain from the living system
- What is the value of those benefits?



What can satellite data provide for Ecosystem Service Valuation?



- Data Information
- Habitat Information






The SENSE approach: Data & ecological knowledge

It is important to consider all the information in any land-based decision...



Working With Local Authorities




The Milton Keynes (MK) approach: Broad-scale habitat map

Imagery:

Landsat
Pleiades
SPOT 6
SRTM
WV 2
WV 3

Thematic layers:

OSMM
NE Priority habitats
Cloud cover



Legend

	Arable		Manmade
	Bare ground		Open water
	Coniferous woodland		Permanent grassland
	Deciduous woodland		Species rich, semi-natural
	Gardens		Disturbed vegetation
	Hedgerow		Wet grassland

The MK approach: Knowledge-based ruleset

Each delineated habitat is given a score of importance, from a disbenefit to a high and positive affect, for each ecosystem service layer.

Habitat	Food Provision	Pollination	Air Quality	Soil Function	Water quality	Carbon storage
Agricultural	3	1	0	-1	-1	-1
Gardens	2	2	1	2	1	1
Heterogeneous grassland	1	3	1	3	2	1
Hedgerow	1	1	2	3	3	2
High intensity grassland	2	1	1	-1	0	-1
Permanent grassland	2	2	1	2	1	1
Species rich, semi-natural grassland	1	3	1	3	2	1
Urban	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1
Wet grassland	2	2	1	3	1	2
Woodland (broadleaf)	1	2	3	3	3	3
Woodland (coniferous)	0	0	2	1	2	3


MK ecosystem services: Carbon storage

Legend



9.2% High
 3.8% Moderate
 18.2% Low
 19.1% None
 37.0% Disbenefit *

*not including urban areas



Areas of land important for carbon storage in vegetation

How to interpret the map:


The darkest colours represent areas where there is more carbon storage in the vegetation, which include areas of woodland. Grey areas indicate a loss of carbon into the atmosphere.

What the ecosystem service is:

This map shows where there is significant storage of carbon within the vegetation of the Milton Keynes county borough. Atmospheric carbon is sequestered by and stored in vegetation through the process of photosynthesis, resulting in vegetative growth. The more biomass present in the vegetation the more carbon that is stored, with mature woodland at one end of the spectrum and grasslands at the other end. Carbon storage in the vegetation can help in mitigating climate change by binding up the carbon and preventing its release to the atmosphere.


MK ecosystem services: Surface water

Legend



12.6% High
 0.4% Moderate
 11.3% Low
 29.7% None
 33.4% Disbenefit *

*not including urban areas



Areas of land which help store water, helping to mitigate flood risk

How to interpret the map:


Darker colours represent areas that are helping to temporarily store water, slowing its passage throughout the environment. Areas of disbenefit represent those where water moves rapidly, increasing risk of flooding in heavy rainfall events.

What the ecosystem service is:

This map shows where the environment is helping to slow the movement of rainfall over the land into rivers (also known as overland flow).


MK ecosystem services: Water quality

Legend



11.4% High
 6.5% Moderate
 25.6% Low
 10.6% None
 33.4% Disbenefit*

*not including urban areas



Areas of land which help clean water by filtering out pollutants, providing pure drinking water

How to interpret the map:


The darkest colours represent land that contributes most to water filtration, and therefore 'better' water quality. Lighter colours represent land that may be inputting impurities into the water environment.

What the ecosystem service is:

Contribution of the land to the filtration and supply of fresh water. Pure clean water is essential for human health and underpins the whole environment.

MK ecosystem services: Food provision

Legend



33.1% High
29.5% Moderate
18.7% Low
6.1% None *

*not including urban areas



Ability of the land to contribute towards food provision

How to interpret the map:

Dark brown colours show areas of higher value arable crops. Mid colours are likely to be grazing land supporting animal farming. Light yellow colours show areas where occasional wild food might be collected.


What the service is:

The map shows the land being used for cropping mainly based on multi-temporal data.

Food security is a significant issue with a growing world population; agricultural crops play an important role in this.

MK ecosystem services: Pollination

Legend



5.3% High
27.2% Moderate
46.7% Low
8.2% None *

*not including urban areas



Areas of land likely to provide a source of food for pollinating insects

How to interpret the map:

The darkest colours represent where there are habitats most likely to support pollinators, such as bees. Lighter colours represent areas where there are few flowering plants and little support for insect pollinators.

What the ecosystem service is:

This map shows areas likely to contain pollen bearing plant species supporting a wide range of pollinating insects.

Insect pollination of our food and flower crops is an extremely important ecosystem service, for without it many of our crops would not effectively form.


MK ecosystem services: Additional layers




Importance to biodiversity



Multiple benefits



Air quality





Sedimentation

Taking it further...

- **Quality assure EO habitat map**
- **Working in partnership with local authority**
 - Natural flood management
 - Biodiversity conservation (resilience)
 - Spatial planning (housing)
- **Apply the SENCE approach**
- **Change detection**
- **Strategic environmental assessment & environmental impact assessment**
- **Scenario modelling**
 - Catchment management
 - Spatial planning

Multiple Benefits (bundles)



SENCE
Spatial Evidence for Natural Capital Valuation

 **Environment**
SYSTEMS
evidence and insight

CATAPULT
Satellite Applications

Earth Observation is more than Land Cover

Other data products include:

- Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)
- Leaf area index (LAI)
- Fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (fAPAR)
- Land surface temperature (LST)
- Fire radiative power (FRP)
- Burned area
- Active fires
- Soil moisture index
- Digital terrain models

Derived data products:

- Habitat fragmentation
- Aboveground forest biomass
- River sinuosity
- and many others



State-of-the-art: Linking land cover and habitat maps to ecosystem services through empirical production functions

We aim to go beyond these approaches by incorporating novel EO developments:


Time-series analysis of biophysical parameters (LAI, fAPAR, NDVI)

Assimilation of EO into models

Higher spatial resolution, better thematic content and greater data availability

Cloud processing capability and UK investment in CEDA / JASMIN

An EO framework for ecosystem service valuation



ESA Sentinel-1 data



C-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)

VV-VH polarisation

2 satellites

Modes:

- Interferometric wide-swath mode at 250 km and 5×20 m resolution
- Wave-mode images of 20×20 km and 5×5 m resolution (at 100 km intervals)
- Strip map mode at 80 km swath and 5×5 m resolution
- Extra wide-swath mode of 400 km and 20×40 m resolution

Revisit time: 1-12 days (1-6 days with two satellites)

Data volume: 2 GB per SLC image at dual-pol (170 km x 250 km)

ESA Sentinel-2 data




Multispectral imager (MSI) covering 13 spectral bands (443 nm–2190 nm) with a swath width of 290 km and spatial resolutions of 10 m (4 visible and near-infrared bands), 20 m (6 red-edge/shortwave-infrared bands) and 60 m (3 atmospheric correction bands)

Data size: 600 MB per granule of 100 km²

Revisit time: 10 days (5 days with two satellites)

Systematic coverage of land between 84°N and 56°S



Future Potential – 1m Video – Skybox Imaging



Satellite Applications

Thank you!

Grateful acknowledgements to:

Samuel Pike and colleagues

Environment Systems Ltd

samuel.pike@envsys.co.uk

And the NERC BESS EO working group